

KVH Wider Biosecurity Strategy

February 2014

Goal	Objectives	Performance measures
1. Prevent new kiwifruit pests and diseases from establishing in New Zealand.	a) Monitoring biosecurity system effectiveness and advocating for improvements. b) Early identification of emerging risks and ensuring a proactive approach is taken to address these. c) A coordinated approach with HortNZ, Pipfruit NZ, WineNZ and other horticulture and agriculture product groups to favourably influence pre border and border regulatory settings. d) Establishing partnerships with ports and other high risk entry points to minimise risks to our industry.	i. Extent to which KVH biosecurity submissions/advice are adopted. ii. Number of emerging risks identified and timeliness/effectiveness of approach to address these. iii. Number and quality of joint work programmes with other industry sectors to improve management of biosecurity risks, and effective implementation of these. iv. Number of relevant new incursions successfully eradicated.
2. Lead and manage wider biosecurity readiness, response and operational roles on behalf of the kiwifruit industry	e) KVH leading and coordinating, but working closely with Zespri, NZKGI, post-harvest and growers to bring together expertise as/where it is needed. f) High level of readiness and response preparedness (early detection; tools and capability available; contingency planning; support Zespri to achieve favourable market access arrangements).	v. Number of GIA operational agreements (or schedules) in place for highest risk pests of greatest concern. vi. Proportion of kiwifruit industry signed up to kiwifruit industry capability network and completed foundation training.
3. Reduce impacts of new kiwifruit pests and diseases in the New Zealand kiwifruit industry to support growers' long term success and future growth.	g) Effective and timely response to eradicate outbreaks / reduce impacts. h) Establish longer term biosecurity practices, building on lessons and approach from Psa and other NZ / international models.	vii. Achievement of response outcomes. viii. Level of Grower uptake of longer term biosecurity practices.
4. Make Growers and public fully aware of wider biosecurity risks.	i) Education	ix. Level of grower and public awareness of wider biosecurity risks, and the importance of these to industry.
Core components of the wider biosecurity role	How KVH will operate	Business model for delivery
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monitoring to identifying new biosecurity risks Undertaking and arranging surveillance Readiness and responses activities defined Leading biosecurity responses (coordinated kiwifruit industry input) Promoting and encouraging wider biosecurity research Monitoring and promoting best practice Liaising with government regulators (and any other relevant organisations) to influence biosecurity policy settings Working with other industry groups to align efforts and lever off collective approaches 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Working for growers for the benefit of the NZ kiwifruit industry Clear outcomes and objectives Leadership to achieve unified approach Partnering to achieve joint outcomes Growing industry biosecurity capability, building on what already exists Strong advocate for protection of industry Practical initiatives to achieve tangible improvements Robust and enduring relationships 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> KVH leading and coordinating, but working closely with Zespri, NZKGI, post-harvest and growers to bring together expertise as/where it is needed KVH supporting Zespri-led market access negotiations KVH maintaining access to field capability, with other parts of the industry contributing to that capability KVH forming internal industry agreements, where needed, to formalise joint biosecurity outcomes, cost-share arrangements, and any other mutual expectations Participating as part of MPI's national biosecurity capability network, to both contribute and access capability, where it is in the industry's best interests
Financial requirements and funding arrangements		
<p style="text-align: center;">Desirability for not exceeding the total level of current funding Working capital of \$500k to allow for normal short term response costs Funded by a Biosecurity Act Levy</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Setting a cap (TBD) for maximum spend normal times and another cap for response and Expenditure would be based by Board and AGM approval of the budget using working capital to draw down short term response costs A mechanism for considering funding an extraordinary response from the Zespri, IAC or Government</p>		